Please use corrected list attached. THE TAU BETA PI ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED

NATIONAL ENGINEERING HONOR SOCIETY
MEMBER OF ASSOCIATION OF COLLEGE HONOR SOCIETIES

FOUNDED 1885

TAU BETA PI CONFIDENTIAL

JAMES D. FROULA, P.E. SECRETARY-TREASURER

508 DOUGHERTY ENGINEERING HALL, UTK P.O. BOX 2697, KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37901-2697 423/546-4578, FAX: 423/546-4579, E-MAIL: tbp@tbp.org

ROGER E. HAWKS
ASSISTANT SECRETARY-TREASURES

September 18, 1998

To Executive Councils and District Directors

Materials for Convention Committee on Awards

Dear Friends:

Here is the "preliminary screening" material that Roger and I annually prepare for the Convention Committee on Awards. The data are taken from the Chapter Surveys and from chapter reports to headquarters.

The letters of information, instructions, and recommendations are being sent to the Awards Committee now, and the work of that committee is confidential. You should keep this information confidential because nobody else should know before the Friday meals and the Saturday Awards Banquet which chapters are being suggested for prizes and which ones will receive Secretary's Commendations and Chapter Project Awards.

Sarath Krishnaswamy, Joseph J. Hanby, Joseph G. Macro, Michael D. Malmstrom, Lisa C. Pawlak, and Edward L. Tezak are the national officer advisor and consultants to the Awards Committee; they must coach the spokesperson for presentation of the R.H. Nagel/R.C. Matthews awards at the closing banquet. This group will have an enormous amount of work to do in a very short time.

Some of the delegates appointed to this committee are those from chapters that have no chance of winning any prizes, but many delegates are from chapters which just missed winning a major award this year and/or which have the potential to win one next year. The objective is to let both the "less active" chapters and the "just missed" see what excellent chapters do.

Sincerely,

JDF/tv

Enclosures

Chapter Awards Program ratings, methods, & preliminary screening (September 18, 1998, letter with all attachments)

The Tau Beta Pi Association CHAPTER AWARDS PROGRAM

1997-98

Ratings For Projects

Group 1 Outstanding (19)	Number of <u>Projects</u>	Total Project <u>Points</u>	Size of Chapter (No. of Eligible Students)
California Phi	11	48	23
District of Columbia Alpha	24	70	34
Florida Alpha	47	189	275
Georgia Beta	23	84	35
Indiana Delta	16	58	21
Iowa Alpha	67	182	242
Maryland Beta	75	262	214
Michigan Theta	34	133	62
Minnesota Alpha	51	159	486
New Hampshire Alpha	33	105	53
New York Kappa	22	80	36
New York Omicron	26	95	51
New York Tau	22	80	22
Ohio Gamma	50	183	313
Ohio Iota	19	64	27
Pennsylvania Epsilon	15	54	31
Vermont Beta	24	99	12
Washington Gamma	22	80	23
Washington Delta	14	51	23

Group 2 -- Excellent (21)

Alaska Alpha Arizona Gamma California Omega Florida Eta Indiana Alpha Maryland Alpha Maryland Delta Massachusetts Delta Michigan Gamma Minnesota Beta Nevada Beta New Mexico Alpha New York Eta Ohio Alpha

Ohio Kappa Pennsylvania Theta Rhode Island Alpha South Dakota Alpha Texas Theta West Virginia Alpha Wyoming Alpha

Group 3 -- Good (40)

Arizona Alpha
California Zeta
California Theta
California Iota
California Sigma
California Tau
California Chi
California Psi
Connecticut Gamma
Florida Beta
Florida Epsilon
Florida Zeta
Florida Theta
Indiana Gamma

Kansas Gamma
Massachusetts Epsilon
Massachusetts Zeta
Massachusetts Iota
Michigan Epsilon
Michigan Iota
Missouri Beta
New Jersey Beta
New York Theta
North Carolina Gamma
North Carolina Epsilon
Ohio Beta
Ohio Epsilon

Ohio Theta
Oregon Beta
Pennsylvania Alpha
Pennsylvania Eta
Pennsylvania Iota
Pennsylvania Kappa
Pennsylvania Lambda
South Carolina Gamma
Tennessee Alpha
Tennessee Delta
Texas Eta
Wisconsin Beta
Wisconsin Delta

Group 4 -- Fair (34)

Alabama Alpha
Alabama Beta
Alabama Delta
Arizona Beta
California Alpha
California Mu
California Omicron
California Upsilon
California Alpha Alpha
Colorado Delta
Florida Gamma
Georgia Alpha

Illinois Alpha Iowa Beta Massachusetts Alpha Massachusetts Eta Michigan Alpha Michigan Beta Missouri Alpha (c) New Jersey Delta New Mexico Beta New York Nu North Carolina Delta

North Dakota Beta Ohio Lambda Oregon Alpha Pennsylvania Zeta South Carolina Beta Texas Alpha Texas Iota Texas Kappa Virginia Gamma Wisconsin Alpha Wisconsin Gamma

Ratings for Projects Cont'd, Page 3

Group 5 -- Minimal (34)

Arkansas Alpha California Beta California Delta California Kappa Colorado Alpha Colorado Zeta Connecticut Beta Delaware Alpha District of Columbia Gamma New York Pi

Idaho Alpha Illinois Epsilon

Indiana Beta

Louisiana Gamma Maryland Gamma Massachusetts Beta Michigan Eta Montana Alpha New Jersey Gamma New York Beta New York Xi Ohio Eta Oklahoma Beta

Oklahoma Gamma Pennsylvania Beta Pennsylvania Gamma Tennessee Beta Tennessee Gamma Tennessee Epsilon Tennessee Zeta Virginia Alpha Virginia Beta

Washington Alpha Wisconsin Epsilon

Group 6 -- No Projects (17)

California Lambda Colorado Epsilon Louisiana Alpha Louisiana Delta Michigan Delta Michigan Kappa

Mississippi Alpha Mississippi Beta Missouri Alpha (k) Montana Beta New York Delta Ohio Delta

Rhode Island Beta Texas Zeta Utah Beta Vermont Alpha Washington Beta

Group 7 -- No Survey (57)

Alabama Gamma Alabama Epsilon California Gamma California Epsilon California Eta California Nu California Xi California Rho Colorado Beta Connecticut Alpha District of Columbia Beta

Florida Delta Illinois Beta Illinois Gamma Illinois Delta Illinois Zeta Indiana Epsilon Kansas Alpha Kansas Beta

Kentucky Alpha Kentucky Beta Louisiana Beta Louisiana Epsilon Maine Alpha Maryland Epsilon Massachusetts Theta Michigan Zeta (a) Michigan Zeta (b) Missouri Gamma Nebraska Alpha Nevada Alpha New Jersey Alpha New Mexico Gamma New York Alpha New York Gamma New York Iota

New York Mu

New York Rho

New York Sigma North Carolina Alpha North Dakota Alpha Ohio Zeta Ohio Mu Oklahoma Alpha Pennsylvania Delta Puerto Rico Alpha South Carolina Alpha South Dakota Beta Texas Beta Texas Gamma Texas Delta Texas Epsilon Texas Lambda Utah Alpha Utah Gamma Virginia Delta

West Virginia Beta